RESEARCH

Open Access

An assessment of health risks posed by consumption of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables among residents in the Kampala Metropolitan Area in Uganda

Charles Ssemugabo^{1*}, Asa Bradman^{2,3}, John C. Ssempebwa¹, Fenna Sillé⁴ and David Guwatudde⁵

Abstract

Background: Pesticide use for fruits and vegetable production in Uganda may result in presence of residues on produce which may pose health risks to consumers. Uganda does not have an established system for monitoring pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables and assessing potential health risks. This research aimed to conduct a health risk assessment of presence of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables in the Kampala Metropolitan Area in Uganda.

Method: Pesticides were measured in 160 fruits and vegetables samples collected at farms, markets, street vendors, restaurants and homes; and analysed using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Fruit and vegetable consumption information was collected from 2177 people. Pesticide concentrations were compared with European Union maximum residual limits (MRLs). Mean values of pesticide concentration residues found in the sample of fruits and vegetables; and fruits and vegetables intake and body weight were used to calculate the estimated daily intake (EDI) of pesticide residues. EDI values were compared with acceptable daily intakes (ADI) to calculate the hazard quotient by age group, and stage at which consumption happens along the chain.

Results: Overall, 57 pesticides were detected in fruits and vegetables from farm to fork. Of the 57, 39 pesticides were detected in all the fruits and vegetables studied. Concentrations of fonofos, fenitrothion and fenhexamid were above the European Union MRLs in some samples. Hazard quotients based on dietary ingestion scenarios for 18 pesticides, including dichlorvos (444) alanycarb (314), fonofos (68), fenitrothion (62), dioxacarb (55) and benfuracarb (24) and others, were above 1, indicating the possibility of chronic health risk to consumers. Chronic health risk decreased with age but was stable for stage at which consumption happens along the food chain. The number of pesticides with EDI greater than the ADI decreased with increase in age; with 18, 13, 9, 11, 8, 9, and 9 pesticides for age groups < 5, 5-12, 13-19, 20-25, 36-49 and \geq 50 respectively.

Conclusion: Chronic dietary pesticide exposures to Ugandans are likely common, and for some pesticides result in exposure exceeding health-based benchmarks. Risks were highest for younger participants. There is an urgent need

¹ Department of Disease Control and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Makerere University College of Health Sciences,

Kampala, Uganda

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visithttp://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: cssemugabo@gmail.com

to increase monitoring and regulation of pesticides in fruits and vegetables in order to protect consumers, especially the children who are vulnerable to the adverse effects of pesticides.

Keywords: Maximum residual limits, Hazard quotient, Estimated daily intake, Acceptable daily intake, Uganda

Introduction

Pesticides are widely used in agriculture to control pests and disease in crops to improve the quality of produce (Aktar et al. 2009). Some commonly used classes of pesticides include organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids and neonicotinoids (Matowo et al. 2020; Maggi et al. 2019; Fuhrimann et al. 2021; Staudacher et al. 2020). Most of these pesticide chemical groups, such as organophosphates, are broad spectrum insecticides, fungicides or herbicides used to control many different pests, diseases or weeds in different crops (Hill et al. 2017). Many organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids and neonicotinoids, all neurotoxic pesticides, are registered for use in Uganda, (Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries, 2018) and use is increasing with increasing consumption of fruits and vegetables including tomatoes, cabbage and watermelons, to name but a few (Ngabirano and Birungi 2020).

Organophosphates and carbamates pesticides are generally not persistent because they degrade when exposed to sunlight, air and soils, but they often have high solubility and volatility and are heavily used in many farming systems (Akkad and Schwack 2010). Organophosphates and carbamates inhibit cholinesterase and may impact neurodevelopment by other mechanisms, including interference in synaptogenesis and myelin sheath formation (Vale and Lotti 2015; Sagiv et al. 2019). Pyrethroids and neonicotinoids are often systemic pesticides with a higher affinity to soil and, especially for neonicotinoids, have the potential to bioaccumulate. They also have low volatility (Laskowski 2002; Bonmatin et al. 2015). Pyrethroids act by altering the function of voltage-gated sodium channel and consequently disrupt electrical signalling in the nervous system (Soderlund 2010) and are generally less acutely toxic than organophosphates (Simaremare et al. 2019). However, they are neurotoxicants and have been associated with confusion, lacrimation and salivation (Bradberry et al. 2005) and also poorer development and asthma in children (Pitzer et al. 2021; Vester et al. 2019). The mechanism of toxicity for neonicotinoids is based on selective binding and interaction with nicotinic acetylcholine receptor sites of a target organism causing paralysis that leads to death (Taillebois et al. 2018; Cartereau et al. 2021; Houchat et al. 2020), and they have also been associated with development or neurological disorders (Cimino et al. 2017) in humans.

The use of these chemicals in agriculture may result in residues in food and expose consumers. Events where high levels of pesticide contamination has occurred have resulted in acute health risks including nausea, excessive sweating and salivation, diarrhoea and vomiting, inhibition of blood clotting, and paralysis of the respiratory and circulatory systems (PAN 2018). Several studies have shown that chronic exposure to low levels of some neurotoxic pesticides are associated with poorer learning and behavioral problems in children, memory loss, loss of coordination, reduced speed of response to stimuli, reduced visual ability, altered or uncontrolled mood and general weakness; reproductive defects and cancers (Nicolopoulou-Stamati et al. 2016; Coker et al. 2018; Chiu et al. 2018).

In Uganda, the volume of pesticides used has increased from 338t in the 1960s to 18,928.16t in 2019 (FOA: FAOSTAT 2021). Many farmers do not follow recommended mixing concentrations on label instructions and pre-harvest intervals (Kaye et al. 2015). Such improper pesticide use practices may result in higher levels of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables (Grewel et al. 2017) that leave the farm to the final consumer. While they are important sources of minerals, vitamins, and other healthful nutrients, consumption of fruits and vegetables contaminated with pesticide can be a route of exposure to hazardous chemicals. Fruit and vegetable consumption is a protective factor for noncommunicable diseases such as diabetes (World Health Organisation 2013), and consumption is rising among Ugandans, which consume an average 260g of fruits and vegetables each day (Ssemugabo et al. 2021a). Fruit and vegetable consumption has grown among residents of the Kampala Metropolitan Area (KMA) (Kabwama et al. 2019), and organophosphate, carbamate, pyrethroid and neonicotinoid pesticides have been previously detected in the tomatoes, watermelon, cabbages among others in this market (Kaye et al. 2015; Ssemugabo et al. 2021b; Atuhaire et al. 2017).

In the current study, we assessed potential pesticide exposures and health risks from consumption of fruit and vegetables by residents of the KMA, in Uganda.

Materials and methods

Study area

This study was conducted in Kampala, Wakiso and Mukono Districts, three of the 5 districts that make up the KMA in Uganda. The 3 districts have a population of 10,812,700 people (UBOS 2018) and cover an area of 1000 km² (Kasimbazi 2016). Agriculture is the largest economic activity in Central Uganda within which the KMA is located, supporting 39.3% of the population (UBOS 2018). This region has many large fresh produce markets, restaurants, fruit and vegetable vending along the streets, as well as many of the farms where fruits and vegetables consumed within central Uganda are grown. Kampala, Wakiso and Mukono are inhabited by 15% of Uganda's population and contain Uganda's districts that consume a large volume of the fruit and vegetables produced.

Ethical clearance to conduct the study was obtained from the Makerere University School of Public Health Higher Degrees, Research and Ethics Committee; and registered by Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (SS 5203). Participation in the study was voluntary and participants (farmers, restaurants market managers, street fruit and vegetable vendors, and household heads) provided informed written consent to collect samples and fruit and vegetable dietary intake information. All samples and questionnaire were coded with an anonymous identification number.

Pesticide residue data

Sampling of fruits and vegetables

Fruits and vegetables samples were collected from key stages along the supply chain including farms (50), markets (50), street vendors (20), restaurants (20) and homes (20), totaling 160 samples. The detailed methodology used to collect the fruits and vegetable samples has been previously described (Ssemugabo et al. 2021b). Briefly, fresh fruit and vegetable samples were purchased and collected in sterile polythene bags or PET (polyethylene terephthalate) plastic containers from selected farms, markets, and street vendors. Samples of ready-to-eat foods were bought from restaurants and homes, especially juices and salads that do not contain fat-soluble substances. Three replicate fruit and vegetable samples were collected at each location measuring at least 1 kg for small and 2kg for large produce as suggested by Codex guidelines (El-Zaher et al. 2011; Food and Agriculture Organisation 1999); processed food samples were at least 1 kg or 11 in case of juice. The samples were stored in a cooler and transported to the laboratory within 8h and stored at -20 °C until analysis.

Sample preparation and extraction

A total of 93 pesticides residues were screened in the fruit and vegetable samples (Supplementary Table 1). Using the Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe (QuEChERS) approach, samples were prepared, cleaned

and extracted to determine of pesticide residues (Anastassiades et al. 2002). Briefly, 1-2 kgs of fruit or vegetable was chopped, grinded and blended to homogenize the sample. Of the homogenized sample, 200 g was put into containers and immediately frozen in order to minimize the risk of degradation of any pesticide residues present. Ten grams of homogenized sample was mixed with 3g of sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3) and 20.0 mL acetonitrile, vortexed and placed on a mechanical shaker at 300 rpm/ min for 15 min to improve extractability of pesticide residues and then centrifuged for 3 min at 3200 rpm. To this, 10g of anhydrous sodium sulphate (Na2SO4) was then added, vortexed and centrifuged for 3 min at 3200 rpm. We filtered the crude extract using a 0.2-µm PTFE syringe filter. The final supernatant layer (0.50 g /mL) was transferred into the vials and injected into the LC-MS/ MS for analysis of pesticide residues (Ssemugabo et al. 2021b).

Pesticide analysis

Liquid chromatography - Tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis was carried to detect and ensure quality of the pesticides residue measurements. A zorbax eclipse plus C18 capillary column (150mm with 2.1mm internal diameter and $1.8\,\mu m$ particle size) operating at 35°C to 360°C was used with the internal temperature set at 35°C for 1 min, then ramped to 120°C per minute and 375 °C per minute. This process was run over two mobile phases. Phase A involved – water (0.1% formic acid, 5 mM ammonium formate, and 2% MeOH). Phase B involved - methanol (0.1% formic acid, 5 mM ammonium formate, 2% water). The injector temperature was 120°C and carrier gas was helium at a flow rate of 13L/minute with splitless injection. The injection volume was $5 \,\mu\text{L}$ at a pressure of 45 psi. The MS ion source temperature was 120 °C for a minute and raised at a rate of 35 °C per minute to 375°C. Confirmation analysis utilised LC-MS/MS which requires two product ions. Compounds with only one product ion were quantified and confirmed using the second ion. For confirmation, the relative ion intensity for a pesticide in a sample was calculated and the value compared to the equivalence for a calibration standard. For positive confirmation, the retention times were matched to the calibration standard as well the relative ion intensities according to the recommended maximum tolerances. Limits of detection (LOD) was determined during the method validation and measurements of uncertainty.

The method developed by Keppel et al. at the United States Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA) (Kabwama et al. 2019; Ssemugabo et al. 2021b) was used to measure dithiocarbamates (mancozeb, maneb, dithane, thiram, metam sodium and propineb. Frozen sub-samples of 10g were placed into a Duran bottle (250 ml) and

mixed with isooctane (20 ml) followed by stannous chloride (reducing solution) in hydrochloric acid (100 ml), and sealed immediately with a septum and cap. The sample was incubated at 80°C in a water bath for 1.5 h with frequent shaking. The Duran bottles were removed and left at ambient temperature for approximately 1h. The bottles were frozen for 30 min to allow the generated carbon disulphide gas to condense. The samples were shaken and left for 5 min. The organic phase (iso-octane) was removed and placed in a vial prior to the quantitation of carbon disulphide by Gas Chromatography-Mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Spiking was done twice, once at the limit of quantitation (LOQ) (50 µg/kg) and another at the expected residue level (1000 µg/kg), as obtained from previous runs during instrument optimization (mean recoveries for individual pesticides in the range 60 – 140%) and precision (RSD_r \leq 12%). A 5-point calibration was used, ranging from $0.125-5\,\mu$ g/ml. The method's LOQ was set at 0.05 mg/kg which equates to the calibration standard of 0.125 µg/ml. All extracts were analyzed using GC-MS. Final pesticide residues concentrations were expressed in mg/kg of food.

Dietary consumption data

A modified semi-structured food frequency questionnaire from the World Health Organization's (WHO) STEPwise approach to surveillance, standardized method of collecting data on risk factors for noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) (WHO 2017) was used was used to interview 2177 participants to assess fruit and vegetable consumption over a 24-h dietary recall period and their body weight was concurrently measured using a weighing scale. The detailed methodology on this has been described elsewhere (Ssemugabo et al. 2021a). Briefly, based on typical Ugandan diets, a food album was developed with different quantities of selected fruits and vegetables. Each research assistant was given a copy of the food album as a guide during the interview. Respondents were asked to identify the quantities they consume per serving to determine the amounts consumed. Based on portion size in the food album, we estimated intake in grams of each fruit and vegetable each day of the week. For children under 18 years, their parents or caretakers were interviewed. Participant's weight was also measured thrice and the average calculated. For children below 2 years who cannot stand, their weight was obtained by reviewing their immunization chart or asking their parents or caregivers the measurement from their last weighing event. Socio-demographics data was also obtained using the study questionnaire. Consumption data was collected for five commonly consumed and pesticide intensive fruits and vegetables, that is: watermelon, passion fruit, tomato, cabbage and eggplant following interviews with farmers and agricultural extension. Workers.

Health risk assessment

We first prepared descriptive statistics of the pesticide residue levels in the produce samples. The mean pesticide concentrations were then compared with European Union maximum residual limits (EU MRLs) obtained from the pesticide residue database (https://ec.europa. eu/food/plant/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database/mrls/? event=search.pr) (EUROPEAN UNION 2021). EU MRLs were used because they provided comprehensive standard values for all fruits studied; they have also been used in other African studies (Fosu et al. 2017; Issa et al. 2018). We also used the mean pesticide concentrations to calculate the estimated pesticide intake to compare with acceptable daily intakes (ADIs). Estimated daily intake (EDI) (mg/kg/bw/day) was calculated by multiplying the mean concentration of each pesticide (C) and the fruits and vegetable consumption rate (FVCR) (g/day) and dividing this by body weight (BW) using the following formula EDI = (C x FVCR)/BW (Gad Alla et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2011). The FVCR used was obtained from the dietary consumption survey (Ssemugabo et al. 2021a). FVCR was calculated as mean consumption of fruits and vegetables studied for the sample population as well as for different age groups studied that is <5, 5-12, 13-19, 20-24, 25-35, 36-49 and 50+. BW used was measured during the dietary consumption survey with the mean for general sample population and age groups calculated accordingly. The ADI (mg/kg/bw/day) for the different pesticides was obtained from the EU pesticide residue database (EUROPEAN UNION 2021). The chronic risk assessment for pesticide residue was calculated by comparing EDI with the ADI to get the hazard quotient (HQ) using the following equation; HQ=EDI/ADI. A hazard quotient (HQ)>1 indicates exposures over the healthbased benchmarks and the potential to induce unacceptable health risks among consumers.

Results

Pesticide residue concentrations

The mean concentration of organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids and neonicotinoids among other pesticides detected in watermelons, passion fruit, tomato, cabbage and eggplants and comparisons with their respective MRLs are shown in Table 1. Out of the 62 pesticide active ingredients detected, 5 were excluded due to the lack of verified maximum residue levels (MRLs) in the EU database for the studied fruits and vegetables. Therefore, 57 pesticides were considered for the risk assessment. Of the 57 pesticides, 39 pesticides were detected in all the fruits and vegetable types. Dimethoate was

Pesticide residues LOD (mg/kg) Water melon (mg/ Passion fruit (mg/ Tomato (mg/kg) Cabbage (mg/kg) Eggplant (mg/ kg) kg) kg) Mean MRL Mean MRL Mean MRL Mean MRL Mean MRL 0.0006 Dithiocarbamate^a 0.000006 0.001 1.5 0.00007 0.05 0.0002 3 3 0.0004 3 Omethoate 0.00002 0.0004 0.01 0.0002 0.01 0.0003 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.00007 0.01 0.001 0.001 0.0001 0.01 Acephate 0.00003 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00008 0.01 0.0002 Monocrotophos 0.00001 0.00003 0.01 0.00004 0.01 BDI 0.01 0.00003 0.01 0.00002 0.01 Vamidothion 0.00001 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.00008 0.01 0.01 0.0007 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.01 Dimethoate 0.000008 BDL 0.01 BDL Mevinphos 0.00003 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDI 0.01 0.00004 0.01 0.00005 0.01 Phosphamidon BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.00005 0.01 0.00002 Fonofos 0.03^a 0.01 0.2^a 0.01 0.2 10 0.1^a 0.01 0.1^a 0.01 0.00001 Azamethiphos 0.000005 0.00002 0.01 0.000007 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.003 0.01 0.007 0.01 0.0001 0.01 0.0002 0.01 0.0007 0.01 Dichlorvos 0.00002 0.02 Malaoxon 0.00001 BDL 0.02 BDL 0.02 0.00004 0.02 0.00002 0.02 BDI BDL 0.02 0.000003 0.02 0.00002 0.02 BDL 0.02 0.000001 0.02 Methidathion 0.00001 Malathion 0.00002 BDL 0.02 BDL 0.02 BDL 0.02 BDL 0.02 0.00007 0.02 Methacrifos 0.000005 BDL 0.01 0.00003 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 Ethoprophos BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.00008 BDL 0.02 0.02 BDL 0.04 BDL 0.04 BDL 0.02 Fenamiphos 0.000009 BDI 0.0001 0.01 0.0001 0.01 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.0001 0.01 Quinalphos 0.00003 0.00003 Coumaphos 0.00002 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 Chlorpyriphos-methyl 0.000008 BDL 0.01 0.00004 0.01 0.00009 0.01 0.00005 0.01 0.00002 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.00001 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.000009 0.01 BDL 0.01 Temephos 0.000008 Profenofos 0.00001 0.003 0.01 0.00002 0.01 0.04 10 0.003 0.01 0.005 0.01 Pirimiphosmethyl 0.00002 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.00001 0.01 0.02^a 0.01^a 0.03^a Fenitrothion 0.00001 0.01 0.004 0.01 0.01 0.03^a 0.01 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Aminocarb 0.00002 0.01 0.0007 BDI 0.00008 0.00002 Methomyl 0.00003 0.00006 0.015 0.00003 0.01 0.00003 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.00003 0.01 Aldicarbfragment 0.00001 0.00002 0.02 0.00002 0.02 0.00004 0.02 0.00002 0.02 BDL 0.02 0.00004 Pirimicarb 0.00003 0.00004 0.5 0.01 BDL 0.5 BDL 05 BDI 05 Dioxacarb 0.00001 0.004 0.01 0.003 0.01 0.003 0.01 0.003 0.01 0.004 0.01 Carbaryl BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.00001 0.01 0.000008 Carbofuran 0.00003 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.002 BDL 0.002 0.00003 0.002 0.000009 Alanycarb 0.00001 0.0001 0.02 0.00006 0.02 0.08 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.006 0.02 Benfuracarb 0.00005 0.0005 0.01 BDL 0.01 0.004 0.002 0.07 0.002 0.004 0.002 Methiocarb 0.00004 0.03 0.03 BDL 0.03 0.00005 0.03 0.03 BDL BDI BDI 0.0007 0.2 0.0008 0.05 0.0004 0.5 0.0004 0.5 0.0002 0.5 Imidacloprid 0.00003 Acetamiprid 0.00002 0.004 0.2 0.002 0.01 0.008 0.5 0.005 0.4 0.001 0.2 Thiacloprid 0.00001 BDL 0.2 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.5 BDL 0.3 BDL 0.7 Bifenthrin 0.00002 0.0001 0.01 0.00004 0.01 0.0004 0.3 0.00005 04 BDL 0.3 Lambda-Cyhalothrin 0.0002 0.06 0.0001 0.01 0.0002 0.07 0.0002 0.0002 0.00002 0.15 0.3 Deltamethrin BDL 0.02 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.07 0.00006 0.1 BDL 0.4 0.00001 Cypermethrin 0.00001 0.0002 0.2 BDL 0.05 0.001 0.5 0.0004 1 0.0004 0.5 Carbendazim 0.00002 BDL 0.1 0.0001 0.1 BDL 0.3 0.0001 0.1 BDL 0.5 Imazalil 0.0004 0.3 0.01 0.00001 0.0005 0.01 0.01 0.0001 0.0003 0.01 0.0003 Metazachlor 0.00001 0.00001 0.02 0.00004 0.02 0.00006 0.02 0.00002 0.4 0.00002 0.02 Metalaxyl 0.00002 BDL 0.2 BDL 0.01 0.00005 0.3 BDL 0.06 BDL 0.01 Azaconazole 0.000006 0.000009 0.01 0.0001 0.01 0.000008 0.01 0.00007 0.01 0.000008 0.01 0.000007 Clomazone BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01 BDL 0.01

Table 1 Concentration of pesticide residues per fruit and vegetable type compared with the MRLs

Pesticide residues	LOD (mg/kg)	Water melon (mg/ kg)		Passion fruit (mg/ kg)		Tomato (mg/kg)		Cabbage (mg/kg)		Eggplant (mg/ kg)	
		Mean	MRL	Mean	MRL	Mean	MRL	Mean	MRL	Mean	MRL
Azoxystrobin	0.000007	BDL	1	BDL	4	0.00456	3	0.003	5	0.003	3
Pyrimethanil	0.00002	0.0001	0.01	0.00008	0.01	0.0001	1	0.00008	0.01	0.00006	1
Spirotetramat	0.00002	0.00003	0.2	BDL	0.1	0.00009	2	0.00001	2	BDL	2
Fenhexamid	0.00001	0.01 ^a	0.01	0.07 ^a	0.01	BDL	2	0.03 ^a	0.01	0.009	2
Fenarimol	0.00001	0.0006	0.05	0.0003	0.02	0.0002	0.02	0.0003	0.02	0.0004	0.02
Fluazifop	0.00002	0.005	0.01	BDL	0.01	BDL	0.06	0.0004	0.01	BDL	1
Flufenoxuron	0.00002	BDL	0.01	BDL	0.01	BDL	0.01	BDL	0.01	BDL	0.01
Pyriproxyfen	0.000007	BDL	0.05	BDL	0.05	BDL	1	BDL	0.05	BDL	1
Quinoxyfen	0.00003	BDL	0.05	0.00005	0.02	0.00004	0.02	BDL	0.02	0.00003	0.02
Proquinazid	0.00001	BDL	0.02	BDL	0.02	0.001	0.15	0.0003	0.02	0.00009	0.02

Table 1 (continued)

BDL Below detection limits, LOD Limit of Detection

^a Above the MRLs

detected only in watermelon with a mean concentration of 0.0007 mg/kg. Fonofos was detected in all fruits and vegetables with concentrations above the MRLs in watermelon (0.03 mgkg), passion fruit (0.02 mg/kg), cabbages (0.11 mg/kg) and eggplants (0.14 mg/kg). Methidathion was not detected in watermelon and cabbages and malathion was not detected in passion fruit. Methacrifos was detected in passion fruit at 0.00003 mg/kg and cabbages at 0.000002 mg/kg. Ethoprophos was not detected in vegetables but only in eggplants at 0.0003 mg/kg. Coumaphos and pirimiphos-methyl were detected only cabbages at 0.0000005 mg/kg and eggplants at 0.00001 mg/kg respectively. Apart from passion fruit, fenitrothion concentration was above the MRLs in watermelon (0.02 mg/ kg), tomato (0.013 mg/kg), cabbage (0.03 mg/kg) and eggplant (0.03 mg/kg). Neonicotinoids were detected in almost all fruits and vegetables apart from thiacloprid that was only detected in passion fruit 0.000007 mg/kg and tomato 0.000002 mg/kg. Deltamethrin, azoxystrobin and proquinazid were only detected in vegetables with concentrations below the MRLs. Although not detected in tomato, fenhexamid's concentration was above the MRLs in watermelon (0.01 mg/kg), passion fruit (0.07 mg/ kg) and cabbage (0.03 mg/kg).

Health risk assessment by stage of consumption along the chain

The risk of exposures to pesticides residues in fruits and vegetables are evaluated by the stage at which consumption may occur along the chain including at the farm, market, street vendor, restaurant and home as shown in Table 2. The EDI was higher than the ADI in at least one of the stages at which consumption may occur in 16 of the 57 pesticides assessed. EDIs for dichlorvos, fenitrothion, alanycarb and benfuracarb were above the ADI at all stages of consumption. EDIs for fonofos and profenofos exceeded the ADI at four stages of consumption. Fonofos, dichlorvos, fenitrothion, dioxacarb, alanycarb and benfuracarb presented the highest risk levels with HQs of 27.5, 442.6, 23.6, 29.5, 118.0 and 23.6 respectively, at the farm and throughout the entire supply chain (See supplementary Table 2). Overall, pesticide concentration at street vendors presented lower HQs and consequently lower likelihood for health risks compared to other stages along the chain (Fig. 1).

Health risk assessment by age group

We evaluated the risk of consumption of pesticide residues by age of consumers as shown in Table 3. EDIs for fonofos, dichlorvos, profenofos, fenitrothion, dioxacarb, alanycarb, benfuracarb, cypermethrin and fluazifop exceeded ADIs throughout all age groups and consequently pose chronic health risks. The number of pesticides with EDIs greater than the ADI decreased with age with 18, 13, 9, 11, 8, 9, and 9 for age groups under 5 years, 5-12, 13-19, 20-25, 36-49 and 50+ years respectively. Dichlorvos had the highest risk with a HQ of 444 followed by alanycarb (314), Fonofos (68), fenitrothion (62), dioxacarb (55) and benfuracarb (24) among children under 5 with a similar trend across age groups (see supplementary Table 2). Overall, HQ values decreased across age groups with children under 5 presenting highest risks and adults 50+ having the lowest chronic health risks for the nine pesticides as shown in Fig. 2.

Table 2 Estimated daily intake (mgkg/bw/day) for fruits and vegetables by stage along the chain

Pesticides	ADI (mg/kg/bw/	EDI (mg/kg/bw/day)							
	day)	Farm	Market	Street	Restaurant	Home			
Dithiocarbamate ^a	0.05	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.002	0.002			
Omethoate	0.002	4.7E-06	0.002 ^a	BDL	0.002 ^a	2.9E-06			
Acephate	0.03	0.005	0.004	0.0004	0.002	0.0006			
Monocrotophos	0.0006	0.0001	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001			
Vamidothion	0.008	0.0002	0.0002	5.9E-07	3.54E-06	2.95E-06			
Dimethoate	0.002	BDL	0.003 ^a	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Mevinphos	0.001	0.0002	0.0002	2.4E-06	2.4E-06	5.9E-05			
Phosphamidon	0.0005	1.2E-06	1.18E-06	1.18E-06	BDL	1.77E-06			
Fonofos	0.03	0.8 ^a	0.9 ^a	0.006	1.2 ^a	0.4 ^a			
Azamethiphos	0.025	2.4E-06	5.9E-05	1.2E-06	5.9E-06	BDL			
Dichlorvos	0.00008	0.04 ^a	0.0006 ^a	0.0004ª	0.002 ^a	0.003 ^a			
Malaoxon	0.03	4.1E-06	4.1E-06	0.0003	5.9E-05	5.9E-05			
Methidathion	0.001	BDL	BDL	1.2E-06	BDL	0.0002			
Malathion	0.03	0.0003	BDL	BDL	BDL	2.9E-06			
Methacrifos	0.006	BDL	0.0001	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Ethoprophos	0.0004	BDL	0.0001	BDL	0.0001	0.0002			
Fenamiphos	0.0008	5.9E-08	1.2E-06	5.9E-05	1.8E-06	BDL			
Quinalphos	0.001	0.0001	0.0005	0.0004	0.001 ^a	0.0006			
Coumaphos	0.001	1.8E-07	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	0.01	0.0005	BDL	2.9E-06	0.0006	BDL			
Temephos	0.001	BDL	3.5E-06	BDL	2.9E-06	0.0001			
Profenofos	0.03	0.1ª	0.04 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.06ª	0.004			
Pirimiphosmethyl	0.03	BDL	4.7E-06	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Fenitrothion	0.005	0.1 ^a	0.05 ^a	0.2 ^a	0.2 ^a	0.02 ^a			
Aminocarb	0.001	0.0002	0.003 ^a	0.0001	0.0001	5.9E-05			
Methomyl	0.0025	0.0002	0.0003	4.1E-06	0.0002	2.9E-06			
Aldicarbfragment	0.001	0.0001	0.0001	0.000177	5.9E-05	0.0001			
Pirimicarb	0.035	0.0001	0.0001	BDL	0.0004	5.9E-05			
Dioxacarb	0.001	0.03 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.02 ^a	BDL	BDL			
Carbaryl	0.0075	2.4E-06	2.4E-06	1.8E-06	BDL	0.0001			
Carbofuran	0.00015	4.1E-06	5.9E-07	0.0003 ^a	0.0002 ^a	2.9E-07			
Alanycarb	0.001	0.1 ^a	0.1 ^a	0.06 ^a	0.1ª	0.2 ^a			
Benfuracarb	0.01	0.2 ^a	0.05 ^a	0.02 ^a	4.7E-13	0.02 ^a			
Methiocarb	0.00025	0.0002	5.9E-05	0.0002	4.1E-06	5.9E-07			
Imidacloprid	0.06	0.003	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.001			
Acetamiprid	0.025	0.04 ^a	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.005			
Thiacloprid	0.01	3.5E-06	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Bifenthrin	0.015	0.0006	0.002	4.7E-06	0.0002	2.4E-06			
Lambda-Cyhalothrin	0.0012	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.0006	0.0006			
Deltamethrin	0.01	2.4E-06	0.0002	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Cypermethrin	0.0016	0.004 ^a	0.002 ^a	0.005ª	0.001	0.0006			
Carbendazim	0.02	1.2E-06	0.001	2.4E-06	5.9E-05	4.7E-07			
Imazalil	0.025	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.002			
Metazachlor	0.08	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001			
Metalaxyl	0.08	1.2E-06	0.0002	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Azaconazole	0.001	4.7E-06	0.0005	0.0004	BDL	0.0006			
Clomazone	0.133	1.2E-06	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Azoxystrobin	0.2	0.02	BDL	BDL	0.01	0.04			

Pesticides	ADI (mg/kg/bw/	EDI (mg/kg/bw/day)							
	day)	Farm	Market	Street	Restaurant	Home			
Pyrimethanil	0.17	0.0002	0.0006	0.001	0.0005	0.0006			
Spirotetramat	0.05	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	2.9E-06	0.0001			
Fenhexamid	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.6 ^a	0.006	0.05			
Fenarimol	0.01	0.002	0.0006	0.002	0.002	0.005			
Fluazifop	0.004	0.0006	0.02 ^a	BDL	BDL	BDL			
Flufenoxuron	0.01	1.8E-06	2.4E-06	1.8E-07	1.2E-06	4.1E-07			
Pyriproxyfen	0.05	BDL	BDL	2.9E-06	BDL	BDL			
Quinoxyfen	0.2	0.0002	5.9E-05	0.0003	1.2E-06	0.0003			
Proquinazid	0.01	0.003	0.0006	0.002	0.001	BDL			

Table 2 (continued)

BDL Below detection limit, ADI Acceptable Daily Intake, EDI Estimated Daily Intake

^a EDI greater than ADI (HQ > 1)



Discussion

Pesticides were detected in all studied fruits and vegetables, with 39 active ingredients (AIs) detected in all samples and 18 AIs in at least some of the food samples. Fonofos, fenitrothion and fenhexamid concentrations were above the MRLs in watermelon, passion fruit, tomato, cabbage and eggplant. Risk assessment calculations show that EDIs for 18 pesticides were above the ADI in some cases, with HQs that ranged from 1 up to 443 and thus may pose chronic health risks. Children experienced the highest HQs and therefore potentially higher chronic health risks from pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables.

Overall, 29% of the pesticides we tested for had EDIs over an ADI. This is a high proportion of exceedances

compared to other risk assessment studies (Szpyrka et al. 2013; Lozowicka et al. 2015; Mebdoua et al. 2017). When calculated by stage along the supply chain and age group, 16 and 18 pesticides respectively had high EDIs are above their ADI. As discussed by JA Vaccaro and FG Huffman (Vaccaro and Huffman 2017), age is a key dietary risk factor that should be considered while performing health risk assessment Several fruit and vegetable surveillance studies have estimated EDI and similar EDIs. Studies in Chile, Poland and Kazakhstan had EDIs ranging from < 0.001 to 5.2 (Lozowicka et al. 2015; Elgueta et al. 2017, 2019, 2020; Si et al. 2021; Szpyrka and Słowik-Borowiec 2019), which is within the range of our findings.

Many pesticides were detected in all studied fruits and vegetables with levels below the EU MRLs except

Table 3 Estimated daily intake (mgkg/bw/day) for fruits and vegetables by age group

Pesticides	ADI (mg/kg bw/day)	EDI (mgkg/bw/day)								
		General population	<5	5-12	13-19	20-24	25-35	36-49	50 +	
Dithiocarbamate ^a	0.05	0.003	0.007	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Omethoate	0.002	0.001	0.003 ^a	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Acephate	0.03	0.003	0.008	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.003	
Monocrotophos	0.0006	0.0002	0.0005	0.0003	0.0004	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	
Vamidothion	0.008	0.0001	0.0003	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	9.4E-05	0.0001	
Dimethoate	0.002	0.0008	0.002 ^a	0.001	0.0008	0.0009	0.0008	0.0007	0.0007	
Mevinphos	0.001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	9.4E-05	0.0001	
Phosphamidon	0.0005	5.9E-06	1.7E-05	9.4E-06	5.8E-06	6.7E-06	5.4E-06	4.7E-06	5.1E-06	
Fonofos	0.03	0.7 ^a	2.0 ^a	1.2ª	0.7 ^a	0.8 ^a	0.8 ^a	0.6 ^a	0.6 ^a	
Azamethiphos	0.025	4.1E-05	0.0001	6.6E-05	4.1E-05	4.7E-05	3.8E-05	3.3E-05	3.5E-05	
Dichlorvos	0.00008	0.01 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.01 ^a	0.01 ^a	0.01 ^a	0.01 ^a	0.01 ^a	
Malaoxon	0.03	5.9E-05	0.0002	9.4E-05	5.8E-05	6.7E-05	5.4E-05	4.7E-05	5.1E-05	
Methidathion	0.001	3.0E-05	8.2E-05	4.7E-05	2.9E-05	3.3E-05	2.7E-05	2.3E-05	2.5E-05	
Malathion	0.03	0.0001	0.0003	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	9.4E-05	0.0001	
Methacrifos	0.006	4.1E-05	0.0001	6.6E-05	4.1E-05	4.7E-05	3.8E-05	3.3E-05	3.5E-05	
Ethoprophos	0.0004	5.9E-05	0.0002	9.4E-05	5.8E-05	6.7E-05	5.4E-05	4.7E-05	5.1E-05	
Fenamiphos	0.0008	1.2E-05	3.3E-05	1.9E-05	1.2E-05	1.3E-05	1.1E-05	9.4E-06	1.0E-05	
Quinalphos	0.001	0.0005	0.001 ^a	0.0008	0.0005	0.0005	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	
Coumaphos	0.001	5.3E-07	1.5E-06	8.4E-07	5.2E-07	6.0E-07	4.9E-07	4.2E-07	4.5E-07	
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	0.01	0.0002	0.0007	0.0004	0.0002	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	
Temephos	0.001	3.0E-05	8.2E-05	4.7E-05	2.9E-05	3.3E-05	2.7E-05	2.3E-05	2.5E-05	
Profenofos	0.03	0.06ª	0.2 ^a	0.1ª	0.06 ^a	0.07 ^a	0.06 ^a	0.05ª	0.05 ^a	
Pirimiphosmethyl	0.03	1.8E-05	4.9E-05	2.8E-05	1.7E-05	2E-05	1.6E-05	1.4E-05	1.5E-05	
Fenitrothion	0.005	0.1 ^a	0.3 ^a	0.2 ^a	0.1 ^a	0.1 ^a	0.1 ^a	0.09 ^a	0.1 ^a	
Aminocarb	0.001	0.0009	0.003 ^a	0.002 ^a	0.0009	0.001 ^a	0.0009	0.0007	0.0008	
Methomyl	0.0025	0.0002	0.0005	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	
Aldicarbfragment	0.001	0.0001	0.0003	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	9.4E-05	0.0001	
Pirimicarb	0.035	0.0001	0.0003	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	9.4E-05	0.0001	
Dioxacarb	0.001	0.02 ^a	0.06 ^a	0.03 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.02 ^a	
Carbaryl	0.0075	3.0E-05	8.2E-05	4.7E-05	2.9E-05	3.3E-05	2.7E-05	2.3E-05	2.5E-05	
Carbofuran	0.00015	5.9E-05	0.0002 ^a	9.4E-05	5.8E-05	6.7E-05	5.4E-05	4.7E-05	5.1E-05	
Alanycarb	0.001	0.1ª	0.3 ^a	0.2 ^a	0.1ª	0.1ª	0.1ª	0.09 ^a	0.1 ^a	
Benfuracarb	0.01	0.09ª	0.2 ^a	0.1ª	0.09 ^a	0.1ª	0.08 ^a	0.07 ^a	0.08 ^a	
Methiocarb	0.00025	0.0001	0.0003 ^a	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	9.4E-05	0.0001	
Imidacloprid	0.06	0.003	0.008	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.003	
Acetamiprid	0.025	0.02	0.06 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.02	0.03 ^a	0.02	0.02	0.02	
Thiacloprid	0.01	1.2E-05	3.3E-05	1.9E-05	1.2E-05	1.3E-05	1.1E-05	9.4E-06	1.0E-05	
Bifenthrin	0.015	0.0007	0.0015	0.001	0.0007	0.0008	0.0007	0.0006	0.0006	
Lambda-Cyhalothrin	0.0012	0.001	0.003 ^a	0.002 ^a	0.001	0.001	0.0009	0.0008	0.0009	
Deltamethrin	0.01	5.9E-05	0.0002	9.4F-05	5.8E-05	6.7E-05	5.4E-05	4.7E-05	5.1E-05	
Cypermethrin	0.0016	0.003ª	0.008 ^a	0.004ª	0.003ª	0.003ª	0.002ª	0.002 ^a	0.002 ^a	
Carbendazim	0.02	0.0003	0.0008	0.0005	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0002	0.0003	
Imazalil	0.025	0.002	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Metazachlor	0.08	0.0002	0.0005	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	
Metalaxyl	0.08	5.9E-05	0.0002	9.4F-05	5.8F-05	6.7E-05	5.4F-05	4.7F-05	5.1F-05	
Azaconazole	0.00	0.02.00	0.0007	2.12.05	0.0000	0	52 05	2 05	0.0000	
	0.001	0.0002	0.0007	0.0004	0.0002	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	

Pesticides	ADI (mg/kg bw/day)	EDI (mgkg/bw/day)								
		General population	<5	5-12	13-19	20-24	25-35	36-49	50 +	
Azoxystrobin	0.2	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Pyrimethanil	0.17	0.0006	0.002	0.0009	0.0006	0.0007	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	
Spirotetramat	0.05	0.0002	0.0005	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	
Fenhexamid	0.2	0.1	0.4 ^a	0.2 ^a	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Fenarimol	0.01	0.002	0.006	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	
Fluazifop	0.004	0.007 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.01 ^a	0.007 ^a	0.008 ^a	0.006 ^a	0.006 ^a	0.006 ^a	
Flufenoxuron	0.01	1.8E-05	4.9E-05	2.8E-05	1.7E-05	2E-05	1.6E-05	1.4E-05	1.5E-05	
Pyriproxyfen	0.05	3.5E-06	9.9E-06	5.6E-06	3.5E-06	4E-06	3.3E-06	2.8E-06	3.0E-06	
Quinoxyfen	0.2	0.0002	0.0005	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	
Proquinazid	0.01	0.002	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	

Table 3 (continued)

BDL Below detection limit, ADI Acceptable Daily Intake, EDI Estimated Daily Intake

^a EDI greater than ADI (HQ > 1)



for Fonofos, fenitrothion and fenhexamid. Our findings are consistent with existing literature showing detection of many pesticides in fruits and vegetables (Elgueta et al. 2019, 2020; Jallow et al. 2017; López-Dávila et al. 2021). Like our findings, many past studies have pesticide residue levels that are above MRL values, especially organophosphates like fenitrothion (Szpyrka et al. 2013; Mebdoua et al. 2017; Si et al. 2021; Szpyrka and Słowik-Borowiec 2019; Eslami et al. 2021; Kazar Soydan et al. 2021; Toptanci et al. 2021; Akoto et al. 2015). For example, recent studies in Ghana and Nigeria also found that many pesticides residue levels in produce were above the respective MRLs (Fosu et al. 2017; Adeleye et al. 2019a). The most frequently detected pesticides that have exceeded MRLs have been organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids and neonicotinoids based on studies in Uganda, Ghana, Egypt, Poland and Chile (Fuhrimann et al. 2021; Staudacher et al. 2020; Kaye et al. 2015; Atuhaire et al. 2017; Fosu et al. 2017; Issa et al. 2018; Szpyrka et al. 2013; Akomea-Frempong et al. 2017), especially in leafy vegetables (Elgueta et al. 2019; 2020). Given that MRLs are determined based on good agricultural practices (GAPs) in field experiments and not necessarily health risks (Fothergill and Abdelghani 2013; Salazar 2011), consumption of pesticides below the MRLs might exceed health-based exposure benchmarks depending on individual consumption patterns.

Our findings confirm similar findings to other studies carried out in Poland, Nigeria and Saudi Arabia which found that many pesticides had a HQ>1 (Szpyrka et al. 2013; Odewale et al. 2021; Picó et al. 2018). On the other hand, literature from Turkey, Poland, Ghana, China and South Korea showed no chronic health risk associated with pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables (Si et al. 2021; Szpyrka and Słowik-Borowiec 2019; Kazar Soydan et al. 2021; Akoto et al. 2015; Szpyrka 2015; Park et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021; Yi et al. 2020). Using probabilistic modelling, Z Eslami, V Mahdavi and B Tajdar-Oranj (Eslami et al. 2021) in Iran found that pesticide residues did not pose health risks to adults and children. When assessed by stage along the supply chain, some pesticide showed a low HQ and consequently lower risk when consumed at farm than at other stages further along the supply chain, such as restaurants and homes. Our findings are similar to those from previous studies which have shown a higher chronic health risk for stages upstream along the chain (Akomea-Frempong et al. 2017; Jacxsens et al. 2017). When HQ was assessed by age, children more frequently experienced higher hazard quotients (18-13) compared with adults (11-9) with HQS up to 443, compared with a maximum HQ for adults at XX. Our findings are similar to findings from studies from Chile, Nigeria and China that assessed risk by age which found that chronic health risks were higher in children compared to adults (Elgueta et al. 2020; Si et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021; Adeleye et al. 2019b).

Our findings have implications on policy and future research. We used the EU MRLs and ADIs to evaluate exposures and risks, these benchmarks are lower and hence more sensitive than other guidelines. For example, Codex Alimentarius guidelines are higher, which would suggest lower health risks based on the exposure we evaluated. There is a need to develop Ugandan standards for MRLs and ADI based on local studies and context. The high HQs demonstrate in our study also demonstrate the need for routine monitoring and surveillance of pesticide residues in foods, especially in fruits and vegetables.

This study has several strengths and limitations. This study is the largest in Uganda to examine pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables; and we interviewed over 2000 residents to obtain information on dietary intake patterns. Dietary consumption data for fruit and vegetable was measured using a contextualised food album and thus presents a true reflection of the study community. We used mean residue concentrations to assess likely average exposures to consumers, but individual variability in eating patterns may result in higher or lower chronic exposures (Szpyrka et al. 2015). Additionally, we computed hazard quotients for consumption of individual foods. It is likely that consumers ate several different fruits or vegetables on any given day. In future analyses, we will use probabilistic methods to assess the range of potential exposures and health risks from more realistic diet patterns. We will also apply relative potency factors (RPFs) to assess cumulative health risks for pesticide classes with established RPFS (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2002). Fruits and vegetables were not tracked from farm to fork during sampling due cost and time challenges. Future studies examining pesticide residues along the farm to fork chain should track and sample individual produce lots from harvest to the consumer. Additionally, this study was carried out in a primarily urban community and may not represent a typical Ugandan rural setting. Finally, dietary consumption measurement did not cover the broad spectrum of fruits and vegetables but rather focussed on commonly consumed items within the study area (watermelon, passion fruit, tomato, cabbage and eggplant). However, the study area represents a large proportion of the Ugandan population and several commonly eaten foods.

Conclusion

Sixty-two (62) pesticide residues were detected in fruits and vegetables from farm to fork. Concentrations of fonofos, fenitrothion and fenhexamid were above EU MRLs in watermelon, passion fruit, tomato, cabbages and eggplant. Exposures to 16 and 18 pesticides exceeded healthbased benchmarks and potentially pose chronic health risks to consumers, especially to children. The study findings demonstrate the urgent need for routine pesticide monitoring and surveillance and risk assessment for fruits and vegetables in local Ugandan markets. There is also need to regulate the levels of pesticide in fruits and vegetables in order to protect consumers, especially the children who present higher chronic health risks.

Abbreviations

ADI: Acceptable Daily Intake; AIs: Active Ingredients; BDL: Below Detection Limits; BW: Body Weight; C: Mean concentration of each Pesticide; EDI: Estimated Daily Intake; EU MRLs: European Union Maximum Residual Limits; FVCR: Fruit and Vegetable Intake Rate; GAPs: Good Agricultural Practices; GC – MS: Gas Chromatography – Mass Spectrometry; HQ: Hazard Quotient; KMA: Kampala Metropolitan Area; LC – MS/MS: Liquid Chromatography – Tandem Mass Spectrometry; LOD: Limit of Detection; LOQ: Limit of Quantification; MRLs: Maximum Residual Limits; NCDs: Noncommunicable Diseases; QuEchERS: Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe; RPFs: Relative Potency Factors; U.S. FDA: United States Food and Drugs Authority; WHO: World Health Organisation.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s40550-022-00090-9.

Additional file 1: Table 1A. Hazard quotient for pesticides with EDI greater than the ADI at different stages along the chain. This file contains pesticide that presented a high hazardous quotient at different stages along the chain from farm to fork that can potentially put the health of fruits and vegetable consumers at risk. Table 2A. Hazard quotient for pesticide that presented a high hazardous quotient by age group. This file contains pesticide that presented a high hazardous quotient by age group that can potentially put the health of fruits and vegetable consumers at risk.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank farmers, market vendors, street vendors, restaurants and homes from whose premises study samples were collected. The authors would also like to thank the pesticide laboratory team at the Government Analytic Laboratory (GAL) especially Evarist Natugonza and Oscar Kibirango for their support during sample collection and analysis as well as Mr. Aggrey Atuhaire from Uganda National Association of Community and Occupational Health for his support with sampling and sample collection. We would also like to thank the study participants and research assistants that took part in the fruit and vegetable intake survey.

Authors' contributions

CS: conceived of the study; participated in the design, coordination, and implementation of all study field activities; conducted the statistical analysis; and drafted the manuscript; AB: conceived of the study; participated in the design, and helped to draft the manuscript; JCS: conceived of the study; participated in the design, and helped to draft the manuscript; DG: conceived of the study; participated in the design, and helped to draft the manuscript; DG: conceived of the study; participated in the design, and helped to draft the manuscript; DG: conceived of the study; participated in the design, and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the Consortium for Advanced Research Training in Africa (CARTA). CARTA is jointly led by the African Population and Health Research Center and the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa and is funded by Sida (Grant No: 54100113), Carnegie Corporation of New York (Grant No. G-19-57145), the DELTAS Africa Initiative (Grant No: 107768/Z/15/Z). The DELTAS Africa Initiative is an independent funding scheme of the African Academy of Sciences (AAS)'s Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) and supported by the New Partnership for Africa's Development Planning and Coordinating Agency (NEPAD Agency) with funding from the Wellcome Trust (UK) and the UK government. The statements made and views expressed are solely the responsibility of the Authors. Research reported in this publication was partially support by the Fogarty International Center of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number D43TW009340. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset used during the study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was granted by the Makerere University School of Public Health Higher Degrees, Research and Ethics Committee (HDREC); and registered by Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (SS 5203). All participants provided written informed consent before their involvement in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Disease Control and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Makerere University College of Health Sciences, Kampala, Uganda. ²Department of Public Health, School of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts, University of California Merced, Merced, CA 95343, USA. ³Center for Children's Environmental Health Research, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94704, USA. ⁴Department of Environmental Health and Engineering, The Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA. ⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Makerere University College of Health Sciences, Kampala, Uganda.

Received: 15 February 2022 Accepted: 9 April 2022 Published online: 28 April 2022

References

- Adeleye AO, Sosan MB, Oyekunle JAO (2019a) Dietary exposure assessment of organochlorine pesticides in two commonly grown leafy vegetables in South-western Nigeria. Heliyon 5(6):e01895
- Adeleye AO, Sosan MB, Oyekunle JAO (2019b) Occurrence and human health risk of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) and hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) pesticide residues in commonly consumed vegetables in southwestern Nigeria. J Health Pollut 9(23):190909
- Akkad R, Schwack W (2010) Multi-enzyme inhibition assay for the detection of insecticidal organophosphates and carbamates by high-performance thin-layer chromatography applied to determine enzyme inhibition factors and residues in juice and water samples. J Chromatogr B Anal Technol Biomed Life Sci 878(17-18):1337–1345
- Akomea-Frempong S, Ofosu IW, Owusu-Ansah ED, Darko G (2017) Health risks due to consumption of pesticides in ready-to-eat vegetables (salads) in Kumasi, Ghana. Int J Food Contam 4(1):13
- Akoto O, Gavor S, Appah MK, Apau J (2015) Estimation of human health risk associated with the consumption of pesticide-contaminated vegetables from Kumasi, Ghana. Environ Monit Assess 187(5):244
- Aktar MW, Sengupta D, Chowdhury A (2009) Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. Interdiscip Toxicol 2(1):1–12
- Anastassiades M, Lehotay S, Štajnbaher D (2002) Quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, and safe (QuEChERS) approach for the determination of pesticide residues
- Atuhaire A, Kaye E, Mutambuze IL, Matthews G, Friedrich T, Jørs E (2017) Assessment of dithiocarbamate residues on tomatoes conventionally grown in Uganda and the effect of simple washing to reduce exposure risk to consumers. Environ Health Insights 11:1178630217712218
- Bonmatin JM, Giorio C, Girolami V, Goulson D, Kreutzweiser DP, Krupke C, Liess M, Long E, Marzaro M, Mitchell EAD et al (2015) Environmental fate and exposure; neonicotinoids and fipronil. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 22(1):35–67
- Bradberry SM, Cage SA, Proudfoot AT, Vale JA (2005) Poisoning due to pyrethroids. Toxicol Rev 24(2):93–106
- Cartereau A, Taillebois E, Le Questel JY, Thany SH (2021) Mode of action of neonicotinoid insecticides Imidacloprid and Thiacloprid to the cockroach Pameα7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. Int J Mol Sci 22(18):9880
- Chen C, Qian Y, Chen Q, Tao C, Li C, Li Y (2011) Evaluation of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables from Xiamen, China. Food Control 22(7):1114–1120
- Chiu YH, Williams PL, Gillman MW, Gaskins AJ, Minguez-Alarcon L, Souter I, Toth TL, Ford JB, Hauser R, Chavarro JE (2018) Association between pesticide residue intake from consumption of fruits and vegetables and pregnancy outcomes among women undergoing infertility treatment with assisted reproductive technology. JAMA Intern Med 178(1):17–26
- Cimino AM, Boyles AL, Thayer KA, Perry MJ (2017) Effects of neonicotinoid pesticide exposure on human health: a systematic review. Environ Health Perspect 125(2):155–162
- Coker E, Chevrier J, Rauch S, Bradman A, Obida M, Crause M, Bornman R, Eskenazi B (2018) Association between prenatal exposure to multiple insecticides and child body weight and body composition in the VHEMBE south African birth cohort. Environ Int 113:122–132
- Elgueta S, Fuentes M, Valenzuela M, Zhao G, Liu S, Lu H, Correa A (2019) Pesticide residues in ready-to-eat leafy vegetables from markets

of Santiago, Chile, and consumer's risk. Food Addit Contam Part B 12(4):259–267

- Elgueta S, Moyano S, Sepúlveda P, Quiroz C, Correa A (2017) Pesticide residues in leafy vegetables and human health risk assessment in north central agricultural areas of Chile. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveill 10(2):105–112
- Elgueta S, Valenzuela M, Fuentes M, Meza P, Manzur JP, Liu S, Zhao G, Correa A (2020) Pesticide residues and health risk assessment in tomatoes and lettuces from farms of metropolitan region Chile. Molecules (Basel, Switzerland) 25(2):355
- El-Zaher TR, Nasr IN, Mahmoud HA (2011) Behavior of some pesticide residues in and on tomato and kidney beans fruits grown in open field. Am Eurasian J Toxicol Sci 3(3):213–218
- Eslami Z, Mahdavi V, Tajdar-Oranj B (2021) Probabilistic health risk assessment based on Monte Carlo simulation for pesticide residues in date fruits of Iran. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 28(31):42037–42050
- EUROPEAN UNION (2021) Pesticide residues [Online]. European union Available: https://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/pesticides/eu-pesticides-datab ase/mrls/?event=search.pr. Accessed 25 Aug 2021.
- FOA: FAOSTAT (2021) The state of food security indicators (food security and nutrition). Food and Agricultural Organisation, Rome
- Food and Agriculture Organisation (1999) Recommended methods of sampling for pesticide residues for the determination of compliance with MRLs. Food and agriculture Organisation of United Nations, Rome
- Fosu PO, Donkor A, Ziwu C, Dubey B, Kingsford-Adaboh R, Asante I, Nyarko S, Tawiah R, Nazzah N (2017) Surveillance of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables from Accra Metropolis markets, Ghana, 2010–2012: a case study in sub-Saharan Africa. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24(20):17187–17205
- Fothergill A, Abdelghani A (2013) A review of pesticide residue levels and their related health exposure risks. WIT Trans Ecol Environ 170:195–205
- Fuhrimann S, Farnham A, Staudacher P, Atuhaire A, Manfioletti T, Niwagaba CB, Namirembe S, Mugweri J, Winkler MS, Portengen L et al (2021) Exposure to multiple pesticides and neurobehavioral outcomes among smallholder farmers in Uganda. Environ Int 152:106477
- Gad Alla SA, Loutfy NM, Shendy AH, Ahmed MT (2015) Hazard index, a tool for a long term risk assessment of pesticide residues in some commodities, a pilot study. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 73(3):985–991
- Grewel AS, Singla A, Kamboj P, Dua JS (2017) Pesticide residues in food grains, vegetables and fruits: a hazard to human health. J Med Chem Toxicol 2(1):40–46
- Hill MP, Macfadyen S, Nash MA (2017) Broad spectrum pesticide application alters natural enemy communities and may facilitate secondary pest outbreaks. PeerJ 5:e4179
- Houchat J-N, Cartereau A, Le Mauff A, Taillebois E, Thany SH (2020) An overview on the effect of neonicotinoid insecticides on mammalian cholinergic functions through the activation of neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17(9):3222
- Issa AB, Yassin K, Loutfy N, Ahmed MT (2018) Risk assessment of some organic contaminants: a case study based on food consumption in Tanta and Ismailia cities. Egypt Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 25(34):34212–34220
- Jacxsens L, Stals A, De Keuckelaere A, Deliens B, Rajkovic A, Uyttendaele M (2017) Quantitative farm-to-fork human norovirus exposure assessment of individually quick frozen raspberries and raspberry puree. Int J Food Microbiol 242:87–97
- Jallow MFA, Awadh DG, Albaho MS, Devi VY, Ahmad N (2017) Monitoring of pesticide residues in commonly used fruits and vegetables in Kuwait. Int J Environ Res Public Health 14(8):833
- Kabwama SN, Bahendeka SK, Wesonga R, Mutungi G, Guwatudde D (2019) Low consumption of fruits and vegetables among adults in Uganda: findings from a countrywide cross-sectional survey. Arch Public Health 77(1):4
- Kasimbazi E (2016) Urban expansion in the greater Kampala Metropolitan Area, Uganda. UN Habitat, Nairobi
- Kaye E, Nyombi A, Mutambuze IL, Muwesa R (2015) Mancozeb residue on tomatoes in Central Uganda. J Health Pollut 5(8):1–6
- Kazar Soydan D, Turgut N, Yalçın M, Turgut C, Karakuş PBK (2021) Evaluation of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables from the Aegean region of Turkey and assessment of risk to consumers. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 28(22):27511–27519
- Laskowski DA (2002) Physical and chemical properties of pyrethroids. In: Ware GW (ed) Reviews of environmental contamination and toxicology, vol 174. Springer, New York

- López-Dávila E, Houbraken M, De Rop J, Claus G, Wumbei A, Romero Romero O, Spanoghe P (2021) Pesticide traces in local crops of Sancti Spíritus, Cuba: risk assessment study. Int J Food Contam 8(1):1
- Lozowicka B, Abzeitova E, Sagitov A, Kaczynski P, Toleubayev K, Li A (2015) Studies of pesticide residues in tomatoes and cucumbers from Kazakhstan and the associated health risks. Environ Monit Assess 187(10):609
- Maggi F, Tang FHM, la Cecilia D, McBratney A (2019) PEST-CHEMGRIDS, global gridded maps of the top 20 crop-specific pesticide application rates from 2015 to 2025. Sci Data 6(1):170
- Matowo NS, Tanner M, Munhenga G, Mapua SA, Finda M, Utzinger J, Ngowi V, Okumu FO (2020) Patterns of pesticide usage in agriculture in rural Tanzania call for integrating agricultural and public health practices in managing insecticide-resistance in malaria vectors. Malar J 19(1):257
- Mebdoua S, Lazali M, Ounane SM, Tellah S, Nabi F, Ounane G (2017) Evaluation of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables from Algeria. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveill 10(2):91–98
- Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries (2018) Register of agricultural chemical registered under section 4 of the agricultural chemicals (control) act, 2006 at 6th April 2018. In: Register of agricultural chemical registered under section 4 of the agricultural chemicals (control) act, 2006. Edited by Fisheries MoAAla. Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries, Entebbe
- Ngabirano H, Birungi G (2020) Pesticide use in vegetable production in rural Uganda -a case study of Kabale District, south western Uganda. Afr J Agric Res 16(11):1573–1581
- Nicolopoulou-Stamati P, Maipas S, Kotampasi C, Stamatis P, Hens L (2016) Chemical pesticides and human health: the urgent need for a new concept in agriculture. Front Public Health 4:148
- Odewale GO, Sosan MB, Oyekunle JAO, Adeleye AO (2021) Human health risk assessment of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) and hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables in Nigeria. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12747-7
- PAN (2018) Hazardous pesticide and health impacts in Africa. In: Food and fairness briefying no 6, vol 6. PAN UK, United Kingdom
- Park DW, Yang YS, Lee YU, Han SJ, Kim HJ, Kim SH, Kim JP, Cho SJ, Lee D, Song N et al (2021) Pesticide residues and risk assessment from monitoring programs in the largest production area of leafy vegetables in South Korea: a 15-year study. Foods 10(2):425
- Picó Y, El-Sheikh MA, Alfarhan AH, Barceló D (2018) Target vs non-target analysis to determine pesticide residues in fruits from Saudi Arabia and influence in potential risk associated with exposure. Food Chem Toxicol 111:53–63
- Pitzer EM, Williams MT, Vorhees CV (2021) Effects of pyrethroids on brain development and behavior: deltamethrin. Neurotoxicol Teratol 87:106983
- Sagiv SK, Bruno JL, Baker JM, Palzes V, Kogut K, Rauch S, Gunier R, Mora AM, Reiss AL, Eskenazi B (2019) Prenatal exposure to organophosphate pesticides and functional neuroimaging in adolescents living in proximity to pesticide application. Proc Natl Acad Sci 116(37):18347–18356
- Salazar JD (2011) The OECD MRL calculator. Outlooks Pest Manag 22(2):77–79 Si WS, Wang SY, Zhang YD, Kong C, Bai B (2021) Pesticides and risk assessment in Shanghai fruit and raw eaten vegetables. Food Addit Contam Part B Surveil 14(4):245–255.https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2021.1941300.
- Simaremare SRS, Hung C-C, Hsieh C-J, Yiin L-M (2019) Relationship between organophosphate and pyrethroid insecticides in blood and their metabolites in urine: a pilot study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17(1):34
- Soderlund DM (2010) Chapter 77 toxicology and mode of action of pyrethroid insecticides. In: Krieger R (ed) Hayes' handbook of pesticide toxicology (third edition). Academic, New York, pp 1665–1686
- Ssemugabo C, Bradman A, Ssempebwa JC, Sillé F, Guwatudde D (2021b) Pesticide residues in fresh fruit and vegetables from farm to fork in the Kampala Metropolitan Area, Uganda. In: Food additives and contaminants part B. Edited by University M
- Ssemugabo C, Ssempebwa J, Bradman A, Sille F, Guwatudde D (2021a) Fruits and vegetables consumption in Kampala metropolitan area, Uganda: a household survey. University M, Kampala, p 16
- Staudacher P, Fuhrimann S, Farnham A, Mora AM, Atuhaire A, Niwagaba C, Stamm C, Eggen RI, Winkler MS (2020) Comparative analysis of pesticide use determinants among smallholder farmers from Costa Rica and Uganda. Environ Health Insights 14:1178630220972417
- Szpyrka E (2015) Assessment of consumer exposure related to improper use of pesticides in the region of southeastern Poland. Environ Monit Assess 187(1):4140

- Szpyrka E, Kurdziel A, Matyaszek A, Podbielska M, Rupar J, Słowik-Borowiec M (2015) Evaluation of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables from the region of south-eastern Poland. Food Control 48:137–142
- Szpyrka E, Kurdziel A, Słowik-Borowiec M, Grzegorzak M, Matyaszek A (2013) Consumer exposure to pesticide residues in apples from the region of south-eastern Poland. Environ Monit Assess 185(11):8873–8878
- Szpyrka E, Słowik-Borowiec M (2019) Consumer health risk to pesticide residues in Salvia officinalis L. and its infusions. J Environ Sci Health B 54(1):14–19
- Taillebois E, Cartereau A, Jones AK, Thany SH (2018) Neonicotinoid insecticides mode of action on insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors using binding studies. Pestic Biochem Physiol 151:59–66
- Toptanci İ, Kiralan M, Ramadan MF (2021) Levels of pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables in the Turkish domestic markets. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 28(29):39451–39457
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2002) Guidance on cumulative risk assessment of pesticide chemicals that have a common mechanism of toxicity. Office of Pesticide Programs U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, p 90
- UBOS (2018) Uganda Bureau of Statistics's statistical abstract 2018. Uganda Bureau of Statistics, Kampala
- Vaccaro JA, Huffman FG (2017) Dietary risk factors by race/ethnicity, agegroup, and gender in a representative sample of US older adults. J Nutr Health Aging 21(6):704–709
- Vale A, Lotti M (2015) Organophosphorus and carbamate insecticide poisoning. Handb Clin Neurol 131:149–168
- Vester Al, Chen M, Marsit CJ, Caudle WM (2019) A neurodevelopmental model of combined pyrethroid and chronic stress exposure. Toxics 7(2):24
- WHO (2017) The WHO STEPwise approach to noncommunicable disease risk factor surveillance (STEPS). World Health organisation, Geneva
- World Health Organisation (2013) Global action plan for the prevention and control of NCDs 2013-2020. Switzerland World Health Organisation, Geneva, p 103
- Yi YJ, Joung HJ, Kum JY, Hwang IS, Kim MS (2020) Pesticide residues in vegetables and risk assessment for consumers in Korea during 2010-2014. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess 37(8):1300–1313
- Zhang Y, Si W, Chen L, Shen G, Bai B, Zhou C (2021) Determination and dietary risk assessment of 284 pesticide residues in local fruit cultivars in Shanghai, China. Sci Rep 11(1):9681

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

